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Like for consonants and vowels, infants’ ability to discriminate lexical tones becomes language-specific with age (e.g., Mattock & Burnham, 2006). However, we know less about when infants perceive pitch marking of prosodic units.

**Intonation = use of prosodic features to express sentence-level meaning** (Ladd, 2008)

Intonation and word order of statements and yes/no questions (Frota, 2014; Ladd, 2008; Elordieta & Hualde, 2014):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>STATEMENT</th>
<th>YES/NO QUESTION</th>
<th>Cue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>The ball is red. (LS)</td>
<td>Is the ball red? (LM5 or HS)</td>
<td>Word order Intonation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portuguese</td>
<td>A bola é vermelha. (LS)</td>
<td>A bola é vermelha? (LM5)</td>
<td>Intonation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basque</td>
<td>Baloia gorria da. (LS)</td>
<td>Baloia gorria (all) da? (HS)</td>
<td>Intonation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Can English-learning and Basque-learning infants discriminate Portuguese boundary tones (when all else is controlled)?

Is Portuguese-learning 5-month-olds’ ability to categorize boundary tones in the face of segmental variability a consequence of their language experience? In other words, are Portuguese 5-mo-olds language-specific in their perception of boundary tones?

**METHOD**

**Experiment 1:** n=22 mean age = 127 days range = 114:148; 12 girls

**Experiment 2:** n=22 mean age = 127 days range = 111:153; 11 girls

**Experiment 3:** n=22 mean age = 128 days range = 110:147; 10 girls

**Experiment 4:** n=21 mean age = 130 days range = 114:134; 12 girls

- Native language experience influences the perception of boundary tones earlier in development than vowels, consonants or even lexical tone.
- 5-month-olds, whether they are learning Portuguese, English or Basque, are already language-specific in their perception of boundary tones in the face of segmental variability.
- Basque-learning infants’ success in distinguishing Portuguese statements and questions demonstrates that English-learning infants’ difficulty is not simply because they were tested with non-native stimuli.

**RESULTS**

- 16 segmentally varied, single-prosodic word utterances of Portuguese - bisyllabic, all sonorant pseudo-words with initial stress (e.g., /malô/, /lamo/, /mela/, /luma/, /lamo/, /rima/).
- Produced by a female native Portuguese speaker in infant-directed register.
- Different pseudo-words used for the habituation and test phase (Frota et al., 2014).

**DISCUSSION**

English-learning infants’ previously reported difficulty distinguishing English statements and questions likely stems from their limited ability to discriminate boundary tones.

The aspects of infants’ specific language experience that give rise to cross-linguistic differences in developmental patterns, however, need further investigation.
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